

Sept. 18, 2009 (#411)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Sept. 18, 2009:

Why Wait for Retiring? Here's Population Downsizing:

"Terrorism, Swine Flu, Rationing Health Care and Stuff,
Elitist Masters Saying You're Not Dying Fast Enough,
Armfuls of Statistics, Graphs with Zig-Zag Courses,
Impressive (to a Moron), Say We're Chomping Up Resources,
You See, We Ordinary Folk with Decency, Few Needs and Compassion
Are Loaded Down with Junk Genes, Declared Out of Fashion,
The Rich have Made it to the Top with Cunning and with Slaughter
And Centuries of Inbreeding, Sometimes with Their own Daughter,
Once We've been Eliminated, the World will be Their Home,
Quiet Forests and by River, Elite's Offspring then can Roam"

© Alan Watt Sept. 18, 2009

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Sept. 18, 2009 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on September 18th
2009. For the newcomers, look into cuttingthroughthematrix.com. On the web
site you'll see on the front page all the other sites I have up there. Bookmark them
for future use because the main servers occasionally go down and that way you'll

be able to download the latest shows from the other sites. There's a whole bunch to choose from [listed above]. alanwattsentientsentinel.eu is the European site. It has the same audios as the rest but it also has the addition of transcripts which you can download for print up, written in the various languages of Europe, Scandinavia, etc.

Remember, that I'm probably the only talk show host that relies on the listeners to support him... completely that is. I'm not backed by any foundations, NGOs or companies or whatever. The advertising on this show is paid by the advertisers straight to the station RBN for their air time, their staff, technicians, equipment and their bills. So it's up to you the listeners to keep me going and you can do so by looking at the web sites. There's ways to purchase my books and disks, etc. [Listed above and at end of transcript.] Ordering can be done through PayPal, just also send me an email. Remember, cash cuts out the middle man. So it's up to you to keep me going by purchasing that which I have for sale or through donations. Lots of people get disks burned, they don't have computers and they're played in CD players. They get passed around at meetings and so on. You can get in touch with me at [address above].

I'm not backed by any of the big groups out there or ANY groups out there. I like to be independent. This way I can pretty well say what I want to say. If you're under sponsorship, you're generally limited as to certain topics. You can't criticize certain topics either. So it makes me a free agent. I'm not out to make a career out of this because I don't need it. Believe you me, I'd be making money doing something else very, very easily, very quickly, if I wanted to do so. This is a full time occupation, 7 days a week and every day there's a crisis here and there with computers and different things that have to be done... weekends as well. That's just the way it goes. Plus, you have problems with the satellite upload. That's what I use. I'm in the rural areas, so I don't have cable. Xplornet is the company I go through. They punish me for using it. They punish me. I can't watch videos or anything. They've got me on an automatic rollover thing where they just cut me off. It slows to almost a halt and then it dies on you. Then you have to restart your computer about 10 times in an hour and maybe, maybe you can get dial-up speed once in a while. That's what they do when you try to use it. Back with more after these messages.

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix. You find the more that you study reality... it's a hard thing to come across, reality. You certainly won't find it in the mainstream. Once you understand how to decipher reality, you can definitely see where mainstream leads you. That's its job, it's to lead you in a concentrated effort along a certain *path of thought*. Really, it's all designed for you not to do much, *just go along with it and those thoughts and opinions become your thoughts and opinions*. Everything seems quite natural as they move you from one corral to the next corral to the next corral. That's how it's done. It's very simple. They're all on board with the SAME agenda at the SAME time. That's why you have news blitzes, they used to call them. News blitzes where the same topics, controversial topics, EXPLODE ACROSS THE WORLD AT THE EXACT SAME TIME. It's to 'raise public awareness', as they like to call it, as they condition you to accept a point of view that they designed that you will come to without thinking through it.

We're living in a time now of INCREDIBLE CHANGES. When the big boys and the

eugenics societies and academia and the sciences, etc are all working together towards this brave new world - post-industrial - where they don't need all of the lower classes any more. In fact, they don't even need the middle classes. In fact, they're very afraid of the middle classes because down through history it's generally the middle classes who've lead the charge for change that also helped the lower classes. Without the middle classes doing the charging or the leading, unfortunately the lower classes tend not to do it themselves or they fall into the extreme left-wing and that's designed for them and is ruled by the elite from the top anyway. It's a false paradigm.

For a while now they've been going on about population reduction. In the 70s, it came out in a concentrated effort to promote small families through abortions and to make it acceptable amongst the populace until it's commonplace. That's happened. I knew then they'd go for the elderly and sure enough, they did eventually start that. Euthanasia... in places like Holland and then other countries are following suit. A long time ago, you'll find people like Julian Huxley who worked for the United Nations at UNESCO in his own writings - I've read it on the air from his own book - where he said 'we'll have to TEACH the public to think not just about birth control but about death control.' Meaning eliminating people when THEY decide at the top that they don't need you anymore, there's just too many of the same kind, around the same age and you're about retirement age.

They want to bring the populations of the world down. Now it's one thing to encourage people to have small families but it's another thing when they SERIOUSLY debate *ways and means* to start CULLING the population - those who are ALIVE. I'm literally dead serious about this because they've been doing this for a long time, having these world meetings and talks about it. And they don't just talk about it at the top, they IMPLEMENT plans to bring down populations. That's the real world. That's the REAL world. We're run by oligarchs... not democracies.

They give you during you lifetime MAIN HEROES in certain areas from television that you'll follow. They become your *guiding light* in certain areas like wildlife. In Canada, they gave us David Suzuki, a guy who's up on YouTube when he was a young Professor comparing human beings to maggots. He is a eugenicist and a geneticist. They're always the same. The two go together; you can't be one without the other. They start off in genetics teaching you about eugenics, all in the Darwinian type route... superior, inferior and all the that kind of stuff. In Britain they gave an icon who was on the go before I was born called David Attenborough... a nice flowing voice. He went all over the world showing all these nice animals and all the rest of it. I mentioned him about a month ago when he came out with the Optimum Population Trust DEMANDING they start *bringing down the populations*. Here he is again, along with Suzuki too. They're all in the same club you might say. Every country has their Attenborough and their Suzuki. Every country has been given them and not by chance. They've been selected, trained, and they all belong to the same club WITH always the same final agenda. That's how you control the world. It's standardized across the world.

As I say, he's an icon for people in Britain and English-speaking countries. This is the Sunday Times.

News Review interview: David Attenborough

The naturalist is frightened (Alan: *He's frightened.*) by the number of people in the world and says the human race needs to be reduced

From The Sunday Times April 19, 2009 / Camilla Long

(Alan: See, it's right out in the open. This is at a time when world meetings are going on about 'oh, there's going to be millions of deaths from this swine flu.' I guarantee you, if something is given to the public, it will be RELEASED ON the public. These guys are serious at the top. The only problem they have is to release something and have us dying off, thinking it really is some freak of nature that's killing you and not THEM.)

Bending gingerly over a deep, green pond in the garden of his home in Richmond, southwest London, Sir David Attenborough and I are inspecting a great crested newt. "Triturus!" he says, pointing. "Look. Looook . . ." – the bosky voice creeps past gas mark 4 – "he is waaasting his hormones at her. And in a minute . . ." The animal (unfortunately I had been looking at a reed) flicks in the water. "She's off! Whuuuhnderful!"

It is the first proper day of spring, and after a short scuffle with the double doors we have come outside "the posh way" – ie, not through the kitchen – cantered briskly onto the lawn, past a large mossy patch on the patio ("Don't step on that or you'll end up in a sewer") and straight up to the pond to see his new toys. (A: *I don't know who wrote this... Camilla Long... it's like a novel isn't it?*)

At 82, Attenborough is a vision in taupe, with talcum-powder features, a surprisingly firm handshake and a manner that is occasionally brusque, shading to sarcastic ("Yes, it's a bird"). His daughter Susan offers me a drink of water, before disappearing into an office. "She lives three-quarters of an hour away," he says, alighting on a small garden seat. "My wife died 12 years ago, so she comes here and restocks the fridge and, as she puts it elegantly, mucks me out."

(A: *They talk about his house as though it's a natural history museum. He's lived there for 55 years. I'm trying to get to the point of this whole story. It's like one of these soaps or one of these novels.*)

Attenborough is not here to discuss wild-life. He is here to discuss humans, and how there are far too many of them. Earlier last week, when it was announced that he had become a patron of the Optimum Population Trust (OPT) (A: *That's that bunch of very, very rich white men, as they call themselves.*), he explained that "there are three times as many people in the world as when I started making television programmes 56 years ago. It is frightening. We can't go on as we have been. We are seeing the consequences in terms of eco-logy, atmospheric pollution and in terms of space and food production". (A: *Then he goes through the whole spiel the eugenics guys want you to believe in as they roll out their graphs and their charts and all that rubbish, something they've been doing since the days of Malthus. He used charts as well. All of his predictions were baloney too and most of the figures he just plucked out of the air. They're still doing this same stuff*

today. But this guy is an icon so when he speaks people drop their jaws and listen to this idol of ecology and nature worship. Now his real motive for doing all those years in a career on television has come to the front. This is the real point of it all, not the animals. He doesn't tell you how fast the animals are breeding does he? ...or the tadpoles? ...and all the wetlands that have been created for them to swim about in so they can grow up by billions and billions? He doesn't mention that. No. It's HUMANS that are the problem, humans he says. Then he says...)

Besides, what's the ideal figure for human life on Earth? Attenborough is a little soft-focus on details. (A: Of course they are because they're plucking them out of the air.) "I don't know how you'd calculate . . . optimum-ness, but certainly, the mere fact of what we're doing to the natural world makes it perfectly clear we're way past it. Half the world's starving." (A: No wonder. People never look in to the reason for starvation. You know all through the Cold War we heard different parts of the world with starvation going on. It's because East and the West were arming factions to fight each other in areas where they wanted to decimate the populations so the big corporations could move in and take it over. That happened all the time. Plus you had the agri-food business taking over the farms as governments of countries in the Western world were all putting farmers out of business through legislation. Lots of farmers were put out. Thousands and thousands of farms in the US and Canada were put under by government legislation. Inspectors coming on the land, 'oh, e-coli comes from the cattle, you're too near a stream - it's a mile away - and it might get into that stream,' as the deer walk through the stream and poop in it. That's okay. And so on and so on. This is how they've done it. You MUST control the public, the population, through food. It's a great weapon. That's what they said at the United Nations Ministry of Agriculture, that food has always been used as a weapon. We're going to see that very shortly, used as a weapon... because 5 agri-food businesses literally - all the major shareholders are all the SAME people and is really one company as far as I'm concerned - control the world's food supply.)

He has seen this for himself countless times in "African slums, South American slums. Little kids playing with open sewers, rats, filth, disease, poverty, terrible". (A: Look into Latin America. There are some great videos on them. In fact, you'll see the problems there through US policies in Latin America and the fact that they'd already slated most of South America to come into the NAFTA Agreement and be the supplier of most vegetables FOR the Americas in the future. They've been putting all the people who lived on the land OFF the land for years and years and years and moving them into these slums. That's why you see the poverty, the disease, etc down in South America. These guys OMIT as much as they can to mislead you off into a different direction. Back with more after this break.)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, reading an article from the Times about David Attenborough. The wonderful hero to many people, who comes out for wildlife and gives you nature talks and shows you furry, cute animals and now he's showing his real, true colors as the EUGENICISTS that he truly is: an elitists eugenicist, of course. They're all elitist at the top. And he's a SIR as well. He gives you misleading statements here about starvation. He doesn't tell you WHY there's been starvation across the world. Yet book after

book was published on starvation all through the 70s and 80s into the 90s. It was because the East and West had factions fighting each other. They were arming BOTH sides. When people are fighting little wars, left-wing and right-wing, they're not farming. They're not farming. That's why they were having all these different famines across various countries. He admits that part; he just tries to make you *think* there are just too many people. Same with Latin America, in Latin America the policy is to get all the people who are self-sufficient off their lands and they have gotten SO many off and now they're thrown together in these little slum areas... WITH NO RIGHT TO FARM. That's the future agenda: agri-food business only, the big boys. Then he goes into his other thing too.

So what's a large number? Attenborough has two: Susan, who is unmarried, and Robert, an anthropologist, who has two children. The great naturalist pauses to think. (A: *The great naturalist. I guess that means we're all unnatural... maybe we're artificial eh?*) "Five. The fact is," he sighs, "the human race ought to be reduced." (A: *He won't volunteer. None of these people volunteer to be an example to the rest.*)

You can't just get rid of people, though. "No, no," he says. "Well, you say that, but you do get rid of people – there are famines, and people are very good at getting rid of each other. (A: *They've always used wars and stuff.*) I'm not for a micro-second suggesting that's a good thing, but there are all sorts of diseases and disasters that can happen to humanity." (A: *Now don't think for a minute this guy isn't up in the top meetings discussing ways of wiping you all out because of course he will be. Of course he will be. This is what all this swine flu nonsense is all about and so on. All of this is to get us ready for something coming, something coming. By all the laws of health and medicine no one could possibly predict... and here they are, it's coming in October - THEY JUST KNOW IT - across the whole planet. If anything happens in October, IT WILL BE FROM THE SHOT ITSELF. Then they'll turn around and tell you that you actually caught the flu and the shot didn't work. People will believe it too. This goes on to say...*)

So if we don't take control of the problem ourselves, then nature or self-interest will. None of this is really applicable to Britain, of course. Here the problem is not overpopulation, but the ageing population. (A: *The ageing population. They've already got rid of the young; they abort them. You've got read Charles Galton Darwin [**The Next Million Years**], the guy who was right out in front about it on ways to depopulate society. He mentioned really WHY. He says, 'We can't allow the lower classes to breed. We should encourage the upper classes to breed and give them incentives to breed, but you must start eliminating the lower classes' because he saw the end of industrialization. They know too and Charles Galton Darwin knew this as well, that if they allow people a better standard of living and the chance at a good work occupation and a better income, they'd gradually, without being forced to, have smaller families anyway and they'd go for material goods. They understand that. But now they're bypassing even that, saying 'sustainability, we're consuming too much.' So they brought in the greening agenda along with depopulation. You will see people volunteering eventually for death, to save the world. They might even have old pagan fanfares like they used to have in ancient days. They'll all be dressed in green and grass sticking out of their hair and all that kind of stuff... as they start killing the*

ones who have volunteered to die to save the planet... for Ceres and all that kind of stuff. Wonderful.)

"Yes, it presents great economic problems," says Attenborough. (A: That's a place of the sun, Atonborough, isn't it?) "It's a question of the lesser of two evils." He will be 83 next month: presumably he wouldn't like it if there was no one to look after him? "Of course you wouldn't, because you're selfish . . . It's that blue butterfly again!" He pauses. "What was I saying?" (A: As though he's absent minded.)

Keeping the population down. Getting old. Closing our borders? "We have to keep our borders open: it's a worldwide problem," he says. (A: There's what you can't understand. Britain DID follow the guidelines. They were goodie-two-shoes and they were told from the 20s onward to have smaller families and go for the material goods and they did that. Then during Maggie Thatcher's reign and just before she came in, in fact, they opened the borders to many countries including India. Maggie Thatcher said, 'We've got to; you see, people in Britain are not producing enough children to pay off the national debt.' You can't please these creeps at the top. It's an agenda. IT'S TRUE; IT'S A WORLD WIDE AGENDA. They don't care about nations. They never did care about nations. They used all the nations for war and profiteering but that was it, to get to a certain stage. Now the goal is the whole world and they don't care about the peasant of Russia. He's equivalent to the peasant of anywhere else including Britain, as far as they're concerned.) "You want a free movement of people round the world because that's the only way you're going to stop wars. (A: Oh, really? NO. If the big boys stopped financing them and putting their boys into governments THEN you would stop the wars. These guys are born liars. You're talking about a certain class that's been around for an awful long time, an aristocracy, who've been BEHIND ALL THE WARS and the financing of them and the profiteering of them as well. They have never told the public the truth about anything, ANYTHING. Back with more after this break.)

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt. We're Cutting Through The Matrix, going through the techniques that are used on our MINDS as they go into a concentrated effort, a concerted effort to give us all the same downloading from different sources about the same *topics leading us along a way of thinking and bringing us to a conclusion* and even HAVING US ARGUE WITH EACH OTHER as we fall into 'they should or they shouldn't'. You should never fall into these traps that are laid right there for you. You should always say 'what are they REALLY after?' When government's after something it's POWER, it's power over something. It's always over YOU but in some other area. Whatever they put on the books has a different agenda. Once it's on the books, it expands to include, include, include and so on. You've all seen it before. Never fall for the EMOTIVE topics they give you... and fall into the camps of 'sometimes they should and sometimes they shouldn't,' like this following article here. Remember, I'll put the links up on my web site at the end of the show. This is from Newsweek. It's a typical PR stunt to GIVE you conclusions and to get folk arguing over it. They fall into the argument trap always.

The Case for Killing Granny Rethinking end-of-life care.

By Evan Thomas | NEWSWEEK / Published Sep 12, 2009 / From the magazine issue dated

(A: Remember, Obama came out and said when his grandmother was dying or in the hospital as terminal. He says, 'I thought about the cost and so on. Some of these people get artificial hips before they die and is it really not worth it' and blah, blah, blah and 'the economic factor' yap, yap, yap, yap, yap as he followed his lines. This is the same guy that gave the go-ahead - not that it mattered, it would have gone ahead regardless of who they put in there - to give TRILLIONS of dollars to the bankers who just robbed the people. But they want to take money away from health care. This is the REAL reason for health care. That's why government wants in on it... so they can MANAGE your life. That's CONTROL OF YOUR LIFE. That's why politics wants to get involved in managing YOU and your health care. You know what the government does. They make LAWS and RULES that you MUST DO'S and you MUST NOT DO'S, that kind of stuff. "Rethinking end-of-life care"... Isn't it a coincidence all this stuff comes after he talks about this? "Rethinking end-of-life care"... remember I talked about "the pathways" stuff they have in Britain where they called it "the pathway"? The extermination camp, basically, you're put into and they withdraw food and water and just let you die. Remember that the United Nations has declared that a good global citizen is a good producer/consumer? If you're a pensioner, obviously, you're now JUST a consumer. Don't forget it. This is all economics. Don't fall into the EMOTIONAL parts of what I'm about to read here. It's written just like a soap. This is how they go into the emotive thing, when they want you to fall into the emotive thing.)

My mother wanted to die, but the doctors wouldn't let her. At least that's the way it seemed to me as I stood by her bed in an intensive-care unit at a hospital in Hilton Head, S.C., five years ago. My mother was 79, a longtime smoker who was dying of emphysema. (A: They have to find something to hit the smokers. My grannies died in their late 90s and they chain-smoked plain cigarettes - no tips, no filters on them - and they didn't die of emphysema or cancer.) She knew that her quality of life was increasingly tethered to an oxygen tank, that she was losing her ability to get about, and that she was slowly drowning. The doctors at her bedside were recommending various tests and procedures to keep her alive, but my mother, with a certain firmness I recognized, said no. She seemed puzzled and a bit frustrated that she had to be so insistent on her own demise.

The hospital at my mother's assisted-living facility was sustained by Medicare, which pays by the procedure. I don't think the doctors were trying to be greedy by pushing more treatments on my mother. That's just the way the system works. The doctors were responding to the expectations of almost all patients. As a doctor friend of mine puts it, "Americans want the best, they want the latest, and they want it now." We expect doctors to make heroic efforts—especially to save our lives and the lives of our loved ones.

The idea that we might ration health care to seniors (or anyone else) is political anathema. Politicians do not dare breathe the R word, lest they be accused—however wrongly—of trying to pull the plug on Grandma. (A: Obama did say that pretty well.) But the need to spend less money on the elderly at the end of life is the elephant in the room in the health-reform

debate. Everyone sees it but no one wants to talk about it. (A: *They have at the top, let's not kid ourselves.*) At a more basic level, Americans are afraid not just of dying, but of talking and thinking about death. Until Americans learn to contemplate death as more than a scientific challenge to be overcome, our health-care system will remain unfixable. (A: *Then it goes in to the economic factors. Going back to Julian Huxley, he's said remember, back in I think the 50s, 'We have to teach the public to start thinking of not just birth control, but of death control; they're living too long,' he said. This is the same agenda. Don't fall into poor old granny who wants to die. DON'T FALL FOR THIS. It's an argument, people: 'Well she should, no she shouldn't; yes they should, no they shouldn't.' Don't fall for that. Look what they're really after.*)

Compared with other Western countries, the United States has more health care—but, generally speaking, not better health care. There is no way we can get control of costs, which have grown by nearly 50 percent in the past decade, without finding a way to stop overtreating patients. In his address to Congress, President Obama spoke airily about reducing inefficiency, but he slid past the hard choices that will have to be made to stop health care from devouring ever-larger slices of the economy and tax dollar. (A: *I don't see why not. They can throw trillions at bankers that rob you. Trillions of dollars and get nothing back for it. At least when they're taking care of the elderly they create jobs and all the rest of it and they can live the rest of their lives in a humane fashion.*) A significant portion of the savings will have to come from the money we spend on seniors at the end of life because, as Willie Sutton explained about why he robbed banks, that's where the money is. (A: *So the elderly are getting it all. See how they can target any group they want. They never target... and suddenly they are the enemy and for those who fall into the emotional thing and can't think for themselves, they will be the enemy. They can point at any group at all and they DO, by the way. They go from one group to the next until they get their way. Now they've aimed their guns at the elderly.*)

As President Obama said, most of the uncontrolled growth in federal spending and the deficit comes from Medicare (A: *Uncontrolled growth... it's because we got wiped out by the banking boys. You know the guys who put him in there.*); nothing else comes close. Almost a third of the money spent by Medicare—about \$66.8 billion a year—goes to chronically ill patients in the last two years of life. (A: *What was it they first asked for, at the Federal Reserve, \$700 Billion? That was the first statement and when the woman spoke from the Federal Reserve as to why they chose that amount she says 'we just wanted a nice big number.' They say it would have to be a bailout... now it's into the TRILLIONS. Never mind that. They know the public have a very short memory, very, very short indeed. And now they're targeting the elderly. And you too, getting us trained that you're going to hit a certain age and you're going to walk in to the euthanasia clinic. **Soylent Green** had that. Watch the movie **Soylent Green**. It was written by **ECOLOGISTS**. They first called it **Make Room, Make Room** to try to say that the world will be so overpopulated, folk will want to die.*) This might seem obvious—of course the costs come at the end, when patients are the sickest. But that can't explain what researchers at Dartmouth have discovered: Medicare spends twice as much on similar patients in some parts of the country as in others. The

average cost of a Medicare patient in Miami is \$16,351; the average in Honolulu is \$5,311. In the Bronx, N.Y., it's \$12,543. In Fargo, N.D., \$5,738. *(A: So it's all down to dollars and cents isn't it? That's what they bring you down to. There's the real motive here. More money... see if it's not getting spent on that, the government can use that to make bombs and things and non-lethal weapons and use stealth fighters and these robot bombs they want more and more of. They've got lots of good plans for this money if they can just wipe out the elderly. Why can't we all understand that? What's our problem? Boy!)*

There's a very good site, Jane Burgermeister who came out against the Baxter Laboratory initially when it supposedly... I think they call it a class 5 or 6 lab. You don't make mistakes at these laboratories. You DO NOT MAKE MISTAKES. They have all these safeguards, fail-safes on top of fail-safes and yet supposedly, it mixed 2 kinds of viruses together, a common flu virus and I think it was the swine flu or the avian flu. If that had gone into a human being, you're now guaranteeing eventually as it's spread from person to person and we become the breeders for these viruses, if they join together they could produce a hybrid or a killer disease. Luckily, they were stopped by other agencies on the way. It didn't get into the population. One of the companies in Czechoslovakia injected it into ferrets - that's what they do to see - it killed them all. Baxter Laboratories... Baxter, part of the IG Farben in World War II.

Jane Burgermeister came out about that particular incident and said, you know, they're trying to kill the people. I think she was a licensed doctor and I think she also lost her license for speaking out about this. She's got lawsuits out against different companies and the World Health Organization; she's trying to sue them. Good luck. I won't happen, but SHE IS TRYING. This is a great website with a lot of information on it and a lot of links to articles on vaccines, YouTube video archive stuff on it too, what's in these particular vaccines, not just hearsay but what's actually in them and all the rest of it. There are lots and lots of links as to what's going on about the flu. It's also about Jane Burgermeister. It gives you her story and what happened to her when she spoke out about this. She said herself these kind of laboratories don't make that kind of accident; it just doesn't happen. It doesn't happen. It's beyond gross negligence. It's beyond all that. It just can't happen by accident. So I'll put that link up and check out the stuff that's on the site.

As we live, here they want to cull back the population and the cops are getting every toy that they want. Toys for the boys... Until it's farcical the money that's getting spent by them and the military too. This is from The Mail Online.

It's a bird! It's a plane! **No... it's the flying Florida police in their new bullet-proof paragliders**

By Mail Foreign Service / 18th September 2009

In yet another novel innovation in police transport, a specially-trained Florida force is to take to the skies in motorised, bullet-proof paragliders.

The officers of the Palm Bay police force are to fly 400ft up, equipped with

radios, cameras and GPS satellite systems as they patrol the ground. The trikes the officers ride in are bullet-proof.

Codenamed Operation (A: *Listen to this. They love acronyms.*) S.O.A.R, (Search Operations Aerial Response) (A: *Do you even wonder if they ever grow up? Do they really? Are they really just stuck in a certain age there somewhere?*), the four-strong team will provide assistance to officers on the ground as a cheaper alternative to helicopters.

With one £18,000 unit in their possession and another more modest £8000 gliding backpack to come, the officers of Palm Bay are all set to make history on November 1st of this year.

'There is to my mind no other paragliding police in the world,' said Lieutenant Joe Eakins, who is leading the Florida-based unit.

'Like most police over the world at some point we require aerial assistance and this provides that.' (A: *So there you go.*)

Able to fly to a maximum of 10,000 feet and up to 30 mph, the officers of S.O.A.R have been training since April to gain the approval of the Federal Aviation Authority in the United States. (A: *They're putting a bunch of these up to have fun over the beaches. Toys for the boys eh? Toys for the boys. But it's okay, taxpayers pay for everything... and there's never a quibble about that. Never a quibble about that is there?*)

There's never a quibble about NASA either and all the secret stuff they're up to that's throwing millions and trillions of dollars worth of stuff into space. NO. That's like a... 'Oh, no, we must go ahead with scientific research, it's important for progress.' I wonder who defines progress. Let's kill the elderly instead? All they have to do now is convince the general public this is normal and it will be normal before you know it. You'll hit a certain age - just like **Logan's Run** - and your time is up; you're called in.

This article is Guardian.co.uk.

Sceptics seize on climate cooling model

guardian.co.uk - News / George Monbiot's blog

Research suggesting that global temperatures may fall (A: *Actually it is falling as they know.*) is being used by deniers (A: *Denier... oh, you're a 'denier'.*) and sceptics to dismiss the entire canon of climate science

Could it be true that global temperatures will fall before they rise? That's the thrust of a presentation at last week's World Climate conference. (A: *It's so funny. With straight faces they came out and said, 'This is part of the warming phase; the world cools for years before it gets warm, so we're going into a cooling phase but it's still really under the warming phase.'* See.) Mojib Latif of Kiel University in Germany suggested that cooling caused by natural factors could suppress global temperatures for several years, after which they will start to rise again. (A: *Maybe.*)

His presentation, first reported by the eagle-eyed Fred Pearce in the New Scientist, has been seized upon by sceptics and deniers (*A: Deniers.*) all over the blogosphere. It was picked up this morning by the BBC's Today programme, which invited my old friend Philip Stott (who spends his time championing such dubious productions as The Great Global Warming Swindle and Michael Crichton's State of Fear) to raise questions about the global warming thesis.

Professor Latif suggested that the long-term warming trend could be masked - perhaps for as long as 10 or 20 years - by a temporary cooling caused by natural fluctuations in currents and temperatures called the North Atlantic oscillation. "Thereafter," he told the Today programme, "temperatures will pick up again and continue to warm." (*A: Maybe.*)

Could Latif be right? Who knows? As far as I can tell, his paper has not yet been published, so other scientists haven't had the opportunity to see how strong it is. (*A: They have to buy a special computer and feed it in. They'll preprogram it to give them the RIGHT information and then they can say, 'see, we're right.'*)

IT'S ALL RUBBISH TO GET US TO CHANGE OUR ENTIRE WAY OF LIVING. GOING GREEN, as they call it, the NEW RELIGION that Gorbachev talked about that will RULE mankind with its *appointed priesthoods*, mind you, the new scientists of ecology: 'Too many people,' blah, blah, blah, blah, blah. It's all part of it. It's all part of the same thing. That's all. You won't get any truth out of the media. The media's kept us in the dark for as long as I've been alive and my parents and grandparents. That's their job. That's their job.

Believe it or not, ugh, when Scotland goes crazy over the swine flu stuff, you know that the boys in the Scottish Parliament are just on the paymasters of the same old country. This is from The Scotsman newspaper.

Mass swine flu coffins warning

Published Date: 17 September 2009 / By David Maddox

MINISTERS (*A: That's politicians.*) have been urged to have thousands of cardboard coffins ready for mass deaths should swine flu take a turn for the worse. (*A: They're cheap there. Cardboard coffins. I wonder if it's raining too? What good would they be? Oh, its biodegradable, that's why. I'll be back with more after these messages.*)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt. We're Cutting Through The Matrix. I didn't realize that the hour had just flown in like that plus I have another show after this one. I'll go to the phones now and grab Cody from Oregon. Are you there Cody?

Cody: Yeah, I'm here Alan. Thanks for taking my call. I read **Out of Control** by Vince White, a book that you recommended and wow that was very insightful to see how controlled just that band was by the Music Industry. It seemed like they were really just pushing the culture like you always talk about. It kind of made me think that a band like that that's supposed to be rebelling against the system and everything and they're that far controlled, it made me wonder how bad the mainstream bands were.

Alan: Actually, they're all vetted. It's not so much the bands themselves; it's those that manage them *that guide them* along a particular track. They get them or at least they try to give the appearance to the public that they're all involved in a political/socialist movement. That was the whole intention of it. Whereas the guys themselves, certainly Vince, wasn't in to socialism at all.

Cody: Right, yeah. In a lot of ways they're just prostitutes by just not really sticking to their morals or their values and just selling whatever is out there to sell.

Alan: That's what generally happens with ALL the big bands out there. I can remember when the Beatles were given, I think it was one of the Orders of the British Empire or something from the Queen. After Britain had done something to some other country, they took them back and threw them back. At least they had the guts to say, you know you can have this stuff back; we're not proud of serving you. But you don't see that anymore today. Not today.

Cody: Not a whole lot. Then another thing that's kind of along the same lines talking about music here. I was going through a music theory book that I had and I ran across an old buddy of ours, Old Pythagoras. It said that he was responsible for making the chromatic scale. He basically cut it up into 12 intervals.

Alan: That's right. Through mathematics... And he measured them too. In the middle ages, they reconstructed those scales using the box and the one string method and got the harmonics and that's how they came out with the medieval music for the churches.

Cody: Wow. Interesting number they chose. So would the 13, for the octave, would that represent the hidden master?

Alan: In a sense it would. There's always the 13.

Cody: I just heard that from your talk when you were talking about how the number 13 and then the number 12 and what they represented. I was kind of interesting. I heard that from your old CDs.

Alan: That's why you have the number 13 all across logos that you see. You'll see them inverted on their side and that's actually the McDonald's logo, for instance, that some towns have. Just turn it on its side until the 1 is at the bottom on the horizontal and you got the 3 above it like an M. That's 13, regardless if it's McDonalds. You'll see towns use that too for the logos as well. Supposedly representing 2 hills or something and there's always a line underneath it. It's a 13.

Cody: Wow. The esoteric is just everywhere. Once you start finding out a little bit about it, it just starts popping up at you everywhere.

Alan: It IS everywhere. I live next to a train track and I watch all these freight trains go by and every car has a Masonic logo on them from every company.

Cody: Wow. You know one thing that that book said also is it said that Pythagoras wasn't necessarily one person. They said that it might have been a group of people.

Alan: I'm sure it was. He and others were trained in Egypt and they went back. He went to Croton actually, it was a province of Greece but it was based in Italy, and started a school up there until it was *burned down by locals who knew what he was up to*. Thanks for calling.

Cody: Thank you. It was nice talking to you.

Alan: Bye now.

Well that's it for tonight folks. From Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

["News Review interview: David Attenborough - The naturalist is frightened by the number of people in the world and says the human race needs to be reduced" by Camilla Long, The Times - April 19, 2009.](#)

(Article at original link was removed. Link is to excerpt in transcript text.)

["The Case for Killing Granny - Rethinking end-of-life care" by Evan Thomas \(newsweek.com\) - Sept. 12, 2009.](#)

["The Jane Burgermeister website - investigating the swine flu pandemic" \(theflucase.com\).](#)

["Flying Florida police in their new bullet-proof paragliders" \(dailymail.co.uk\) - Sept. 18, 2009.](#)

["Sceptics seize on climate cooling model" George Monbiot blog \(guardian.co.uk\) - Sept. 16, 2009.](#)

["Mass swine flu coffins warning" by David Maddox \(news.scotsman.com\) - Sept. 17, 2009.](#)

Transcribed by Diana

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."

Volumes 1, 2, 3

Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

CDs

Ancient Religions
and History MP3
CDs:

Part 1 (1998) and
Part 2 (1998-2000)

& Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs

"Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,
Esoterica and ...TIME"